Original Articles

# NORMS FOR PHYSICAL GROWTH OF THE FULL-TERM BABIES BORN FROM SINGLETON PREGNANCY IN PLEVEN

Irena R. Hristova, Joana I. Simeonova1, Nadezdha H. Hinkova, Slavcho T. Tomov

Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Health Care, Medical University – Pleven, Bulgaria <sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical sciences and Social Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University – Pleven, Bulgaria

## **Corresponding Author:**

Irena R. Hristova
Department of Midwifery,
Medical University – Pleven
1, St. Kl. Ohridski Str.
Pleven, 5800
Bulgaria
e-mail: doc\_hristova@abv.bq

Received: September 02, 2019

Revision received: November 15, 2019

Accepted: December 02, 2019

### **Summary**

The aim of the study was to develop the norms for physical growth (birth weight-, birth height- and head circumferencefor age) of the full-term babies born from singleton pregnancy in UMHAT "Dr. G. Stranski" - Pleven (total, by gender and gestational age at birth). A cross-sectional study was carried-out in 2017; 1092 live infants born from singleton pregnancy between 38 and 42 weeks were included in the study. We obtained information about three anthropometric measurements (birth weight-, birth heightand head circumference-for age). Data were processed by SPSS v.24.0. Norm group ranges (3, 5 and 7 groups) were developed for three indicators using percentile methods. Kruskal-Wallis test was used. The mean birth weight- and height-for age were higher for baby boys (P50, 3280 g and 50 cm) compared with baby girls (P50, 3150 g and 49 cm). Baby boys and girls weighed <2570 g at birth fell into the group "very slow growth" (B3). A "very fast growth" (B97) was found in baby boys weighed >4120 g at birth (vs. >3870 g for baby girls). Norm group ranges allow to identify the newborns with a higher risk and to focus efforts and health resources to them; it should be updated periodically.

**Key words:** birth-weight-for age, birth height-for age, head circumference-for age, norm group ranges, percentile

#### Introduction

Nowadays, need of the local child growth standards discussed many times. These standards are very important for planning of the prevention programs and health care for children fall into the groups "very slow growth" and "very fast growth" in terms of birth weight and birth length [1].

It has been proven that physical growth indicators (including in the infants) are dynamic and it is inacceptable these indicators to be used for a long time. Therefore, the standards should be updated periodically and the studies should be repeated every 8-10 years [2] or 10-15 years [3].

In 2006, World Health Organization (WHO) published the new child growth standards (The WHO Child Growth Standards) [4] and over 140 countries had adopted them in 2011 [5]. The WHO Child Growth Standards replaced the CDC growth charts for United States (US CDC 2000 growth charts) [5, 6]. According to the WHO Child Growth Standards, the baby boys

have the higher values of the basic anthropometric indicators compared with the baby girls. The mean birth weight-for age in the baby boys has been 3400 grams, in brief g (2500÷4380 g min, max; vs. baby girls: 3287 g, 2507÷4067 g min, max). The mean birth height-for age in the baby boys has been 50.9 centimetres, in short cm (47.2÷54.6 cm min, max; vs. baby girls: 50.1 cm, 46.4÷53.9 cm min, max) [6].

It is not accepted to use the child growth standards developed by authors from other countries, due to the socioeconomic, climatic and geographical differences between countries.

On the other hand, the role of the acceleration should be taken into account in child growth standards developing; the acceleration has an impact on the human growth nowadays. Today the basic anthropometric indicators are higher compared with 100 years ago (birth weightfor age>300 g and birth height-for age>1.2 cm). It has been considered that acceleration is a result from more intensive fetal growth and development [7].

Before the Bulgaria's transition to democracy (1989), the studies of the infant growth and development have been carried-out in some regions of Bulgaria. Slavov et al. (1980) reported the results for the infant growth and development about 13 Bulgarian regions. These results are still used as guide by the healthcare professionals at the Maternity wards (including UMHAT "Dr. G. Stranski" – Pleven, Bulgaria) today [2].

The aim of the study was to develop the norms for physical growth of the full-term babies born from singleton pregnancy (birth weight, birth height- and head circumference-for age) in UMHAT "Dr. G. Stranski" – Pleven (total, by gender and gestational age at birth).

#### **Materials and Methods**

A cross-sectional study was carried-out in 2017. The study was approved by Research Ethics Committee of Medical University – Pleven.

One thousand ninety-two live full-term infants born from singleton pregnancy between 38 and 42 weeks of all 1248 births in UMHAT "Dr. G. Stranski" – Pleven, were included in the study. Five hundred seventy-five (52.66%) were baby boys and 517 (47.34%) – baby girls.

# Including criteria

Data about women in childbirth and live-born infants were collected from the pregnancy history: last menstrual period (LMP), expected date of delivery (EDD) and date of birth to estimate gestational age. Live-born babies in a normal single pregnancy were included in the study. The information was obtained only about the women with regular menstrual cycle and estimated delivery date precisely.

# Excluding criteria

- Women in childbirth and live-born infants with missing data;
- Infants born to mothers with common and gynaecological diseases;
- Twins;
- Stillbirths.

## **Grouping Variables**

- Gender;
- Gestational age was measured in gestational weeks (GW) and calculated from the first date of the LMP;
- Birth weight-for age of babies was measured immediately after delivery with weighing scales with 1 g accuracy [8-11];
- Birth height-for age (birth length) we measured a recumbent length with length board (infantometer) [8-11].
- Head circumference-for age measure is obtained with a flexible non-stretchable measuring tape [9-11].

Data were processed by SPSS v.24.0.

Birth weight-, birth height-, and head circumference-for age percentiles (P) were calculated (a distribution was asymmetric) in infants (boys and girls) born between 38 and 41 GW. Number of live-born infants in  $\leq$ 37 GW was a small to develop the anthropometric norms.

Norm group ranges were developed for birth weight-, birth height-, and head circumference-for age using percentile methods (3, 5 and 7 groups): 7 norm groups ( $P_{25} \div P_{75}$  norm values,  $<P_{25}-3$  groups;  $>P_{75}-3$  groups); 5 norm groups ( $P_{10} \div P_{90}$  norm values,  $<P_{10}-2$  groups;  $>P_{90}-2$  groups); 3 norm groups ( $P_{3} \div P_{97}$  norm values,  $<P_{3}-1$  group;  $>P_{97}-1$  group).

Kruskal-Wallis H test was used. We assumed the differences between groups as significant if the p-value was less than or equal to 0.05.

#### **Results**

# Mean birth weight- and height- and head circumference-for age – gender differences

As shown in Table 1, the mean birth weight- and height-for age were higher for baby boys ( $P_{50}$ , 3280 g and 50 cm) compared with baby girls ( $P_{50}$ , 3150 g and 49 cm), there were statistically significant differences (p=0.001).  $P_{50}$  head circumference-for age for baby boys and girls was 34 cm (p>0.05).

# Lowered and raised weight values – gender differences

Baby boys and girls weighed <2570 g at birth fell into the group "very slow growth" ( $P_3$ ). A

"very fast growth" ( $P_{97}$ ) was found in baby boys weighed >4120 g at birth (vs. >3870 g for baby girls).

# Lowered and raised height values – gender differences

Baby boys and girls shorter than 47 cm fell into the group "very slow growth". Baby boys taller more than 53 cm, fell into the group "very fast growth" (vs. >52 cm for baby girls).

# Lowered and raised head circumference-for age values – gender differences

Third percentile head circumference-for age (values lower than 32 cm) of infants (boys and girls) showed the very slow-growth group. Very

**Table 1.** Norm groups of the birth weight-, birth height- and head circumference-for age in infants (total, by gender)

8011401)								
Variable	Cases (n)	P.,	P <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>25</sub>	P <sub>50</sub>	P <sub>75</sub>	$P_{90}$	P <sub>97</sub>
Birth weight								7,
Total	1092	2 540	2690	2900	3220	3540	3850	4050
Boys	575	2570	2700	2950	3280	3620	3980	4120
Girls	517	2500	2670	2880	3150	3400	3680	3870
Birth height								
Total	1092	47	47	49	50	51	52	53
Boys	575	47	48	49	50	51	53	53
Girls	517	47	47	48	49	51	52	52
Head circumference	-for age							
Total	1068	32	33	33	34	35	36	37
Boys	562	32	33	34	34	35	36	37
Girls	506	32	33	33	34	35	36	36

fast growth was found in 97th percentile head circumference-for age (>37 cm for baby boys, >36 cm for baby girls).

Gender differences in anthropometric indicators were found in all norm groups and by gestational weeks at birth (Table 2).

There were statistically significant differences between reference values of birth weight, birth height and head circumference-for age ( $P_{25}$ - $P_{75}$ , 7 norm groups) in infants born at 38, 39, 40 and 41 GW (p=0.001).

Birth weight reference ranges in boys born at 38 GW were from 2760 g to 3250 g (vs. 2720÷3140 g for baby girls) – Figure 1. Birth weight reference ranges were significantly higher at 39 and 40 GW; normal birth weight ranges were 3310÷3920 g at 41 GW in baby boys (vs. 3180÷3620 g for baby girls (p=0.001).

The results of the study showed that birth

weight-for age in baby boys increased average of 630 g during the pregnancy (38-41 GW), respectively, birth height-for age -2 cm, and head circumference-for age -1 cm (vs. 530 g, 2 cm, 2 cm for baby girls).

There were no statistically significant differences in reference values of birth heightfor age by gender and gestational age (p>0.05). Birth height-for age normal ranges in baby boys were from 48 cm to 50 cm at 38 GW (vs. 47÷50 cm for baby girls), respectively ranges 50÷52 cm at 41 GW (vs. 48÷50 cm at 41 GW and 49÷52 cm for baby girls) – p=0.001.

There were no statistically significant differences in reference values of head circumference-for age by gender and gestational age. Head circumference-for age normal ranges in baby boys were from 33 cm to 35 cm at 38 GW (vs. 33÷34 cm for baby girls) and from 34

**Table 2.** Norm groups of the birth weight-, birth height- and head circumference-for age (by gender and GW)

GW/Gender	Cases (n)	$P_3$	P <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>25</sub>	P <sub>50</sub>	P <sub>75</sub>	P <sub>90</sub>	P <sub>97</sub>
Birth weight								
38 GW								
Boys	145	2520	2570	2760	2960	3250	3550	3630
Girls	135	2250	2490	2720	2900	3140	3300	3380
39 GW								
Boys	158	2640	2715	2980	3290	3630	3950	4090
Girls	131	2550	2680	2880	3140	3380	3700	3860
40 GW								
Boys	165	2640	2820	3140	3330	3640	4050	4150
Girls	156	2540	2740	2965	3225	3470	3680	3850
41 GW								
Boys	107	2800	3010	3310	3560	3920	4100	4260
Girls	95	2740	2820	3180	3430	3620	3900	4170
Birth height								
38 GW								
Boys	145	46	47	48	49	50	52	52
Girls	135	45	47	47	49	50	50	51
39 GW								
Boys	158	47	48	49	50	51	53	53
Girls	131	47	47	48	50	51	52	52
40 GW								
Boys	165	47	48	49	50	51	53	54
Girls	156	47	48	49	50	51	52	52
41 GW								
Boys	107	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Girls	95	47	48	49	50	52	53	54
Head circumference-for age	,							
38 GW								
Boys	143	32	33	33	34	35	36	36
Girls	131	32	32	33	33	34	35	36
39 GW								<u> </u>
Boys	155	32	33	34	34	35	36	37
Girls	130	32	33	33	34	35	35	37
40 GW								
Boys	162	33	33	34	34	35	36	37
Girls	154	32	33	33	34	35	36	37
41 GW							,	
Boys	101	33	33	34	35	36	36	37
Girls	93	32	33	34	35	36	36	37

Norms were developed for infants born at 38-41 GW. The number of cases at ≤37 GW was a small.

cm to 36 cm at 41 GW (vs.  $34 \div 36$  cm for baby girls) – p>0.05.

#### Discussion

Birth parameters are important measurements of fetal growth [12]. It reflects nutritional status and can be used as prognostic markers for future health, survival and a number of short and long-term effects of prenatal births [12-14]. Abnormal birth weight and height have been significant predictors for low birth weight [15], microcephaly, stunting in childhood [16], congenital heart diseases [12, 17, 18], cardiovascular diseases, metabolic complications, type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus [19], obesity and hypertension in later life [20]. On the contrary, higher birth weight and larger head circumference have been associated with better cognitive abilities in early childhood [21]. A strong positive association have been found between birth weight and adult height, as well as between birth height and adult height [14].

Our study confirmed the results of previous studies about higher mean values of the anthropometric indicators over the last decades [22-29]. The physical growth of baby boys in that study was faster compared with baby girls (total and by GW) and these results were similar to others [2-4, 7, 20, 21, 30]. At the same time, our results were lower than these reported by N. Stanimirova (1998) and Stanimirova et al. (2007). Earlier, in 1973 the authors found that the mean birth weight-, birth height- and head circumference-for age in baby boys had been respectively 3440±340 g (vs. 3370±400 g in girls), 51.27±1.92 cm (vs. 50.78±1.65 g in girls) and 35.20±1.52 cm (vs. 34.21±1.09 g in girls) [31, 32].

Some authors associate the positive trends of birth weight with the changes of maternal characteristics (increasing of BMI, weight and height, decreasing of smoking) and obstetric factors (preterm obstetric induction and preterm Caesarean delivery) [27, 33, 34]. Negative trends in birth weight and fetal growth for singleton neonates were reported by S. Donahue et al. (2010) [35] and Morisaki et al. (2013) [36].

In preterm babies, weight loss (ranges 5.9÷9.7%) happened during the first 3-6 days after birth and it has taken longer (18-19 days) to recover birth weight [37].

In our study, the mean birth weight-, birth height- and head circumference-for age in baby boys (3280 g, 50.2 cm and 34 cm, vs. 3150 g, 49.2 cm and 34 cm for baby girls) were lower than WHO Child Growth Standards (3400 g, 50.9 cm and 34.6 cm for baby boys; 3287 g, 50.1 cm and 34.8 cm for baby girls) [4] and that continue during the first 3 months of life [30]. The difference of birth weight-for age was 120 g in baby boys, 0.7 cm - birth height-for age, 0.6 cm – head circumference-for age (vs. 137 g, 0.9 cm and 0.8 cm in baby girls). Our results were similar to Sutan et al. (2018) [12], Rashidi et al. (2018) [30] and different to Ramagopal Shastry et Poornima R. Bhat (2015). In Indian infants, mean anthropometric values have been lower than ours maybe due to poor maternal nutritional status [13]. It is important to take into account the role of other determinants as socioeconomic status, gravida status, geographic location, etc. [13, 20]. Some researchers found that head circumference is more affected by generic factors than other characteristics [38].

Compared to an earlier Bulgarian survey on growth monitoring [2], P<sub>50</sub> birth weight-for age was higher at 38 GW (2960 g for baby boys and 2900 g for baby girls) and 39 GW (3290 g for baby boys and 3140 g for baby girls) and lower at 40 GW (3330 g for baby boys and 3225 g for baby girls) and 41 GW (3560 g for baby boys and 3430 g for baby girls). Similar trends we found in birth height- and head circumference-for age although it was not exactly clear. It has been proven that anthropometric parameters increase with gestational age [19, 21], as well as maternal age, parity, gestational diabetes, etc. [21, 26, 32, 33]. Mean head circumference has been significantly different in babies born vaginally or by Cesarean sections; between females and males; between babies born in private and public hospitals according to gestational age [37]. It has been found significant linear, positive correlation (p<0.001) between birth weight and each of three anthropometric measurements (head circumference, chest circumference and length-for age) [15].

Our results can be explained with the double effect of acceleration on the mother and newborn who reach a functional prematurity early. It was confirmed by the higher values of birth weight-for age for infants fell into very slow-growth group (<2570 g) and very fast-growth group (>4000 g

for boys vs. >3870 g for girls), especially for the infants born at 38 GW. Probably, this is a reason for women requested Caesarean sections.

We have not found the studies of acceleration changes in pregnant women and their newborns together. Our assumptions about the anthropometric measurements in live-born infants should be supported by many researchers. In the future, norm group ranges should be discussed and assessed by obstetricians, neonatologists and paediatricians together.

#### **Conclusions**

Anthropometric indicators reflect current and future health of the infants which partly depends on the healthcare in Bulgaria. There are many risk factors in the newborns, mothers and background affecting on the birth weight, birth height-, head circumference-for age and other anthropometric indicators. The role of all indicators should be taken account together.

Our study confirms the results of previous studies about faster physical growth in baby boys than baby girls. The mean birth weight-for age in live-born infants is a higher at 38 GW (2960 g for boys and 2900 g for girls) and 39 GW (3290 g for boys and 3140 g for girls) compared with the current norms for physical growths in the newborns. Surprisingly, the mean values of the same indicator are lower in baby boys (3350 g and 3560 g) and baby girls (3225 g and 3430 g) at 40 GW and 41 GW compared with the current norms. At the same time, there are lower values of P<sub>3</sub> birth weight-for age (>2500 g) and P<sub>97</sub> birth weight-for age (>4000 g).

Norm group ranges allow to identify the newborns with a higher risk and to focus efforts and health resources to them. It is important the obstetricians, neonatologists and paediatricians to take a part in developing and assessment of norm group ranges; the last should be updated periodically.

## Acknowledgements

This article was a part of dissertation.

### References

1. Vakrilova L. Early criteria for risk assessment and prognosis in neonates with birth weight

- less than 1500 g. [dissertation], Sofia: Medical University; 2011. Bulgarian.
- 2. Slavov I, Grancharova G, Hristova P, Danova N. Tanchev S, Avramov I, et al. [Indicators of the physical growth of the newborns in Bulgaria in 1975]. Sofia: Medicine and physical education. 1980. 84 p. Bulgarian.
- 3. Haksari EL, Lafeber HN, Hakimi M, Pawirohartono EP, Nyström L. Reference curves of birth weight, length, and head circumference for gestational ages in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. BMC Pediatrics. 2016;16:188.
- 4. WHO. Department of Nutrition for Health and Development. WHO Child Growth Standards 1-5 years. Length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age. Methods and development [Internet]. 2006. [cited 2019 Sept 18]. Available from: https://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/Technical\_report.pdf?ua=1.
- 5. de Onis M. New WHO child growth standards catch on. Bull World Health Organ. 2011 Apr 1; 89(4): 250-1.
- de Onis M, Garza C, Onyango AW, Borghi E. Comparison of the WHO Child Growth Standards and the CDC 2000 Growth Charts. J Nutr. 2007;137(1):144-8.
- 7. [Physical growth as indicator of population health assessment. Acceleration medico-social problems]. [Internet] 2019. [cited 2019 Sept 18]. Available from: http://medic.etilena.info/topics/so/615. Bulgarian.
- 8. WHO. Training Course on Child Growth Assessment: Measuring a child's growth [Internet]. 2008. [cited 2019 Sept 19]. Available from: https://www.who.int/childgrowth/training/module\_b\_measuring\_growth.pdf.
- Petrov I, Tachev A, Nikolova M, Bachvarova M. [Anatomy, physiology and hygiene of children]. Sofia: St. Kliment Ohridski University; 1989. Bulgarian.
- 10. Mumdjiev N. [Pediatric Basics]. Plovdiv: Medical University; 1998. Bulgarian.
- 11. Bliznakova D. [Pediatry for dentists]. Varna: Medical University; 2002. Bulgarian.
- Sutan R, Yeong ML, Mahdy ZA, Shuhaila A, Rohana J, Ishak S, et al. Trend of head circumference as a predictor of microcephaly among term infants born at a regional center in Malaysia between 2011-2015. Dovepress. 2018:8 9-17.
- 13. Ramagopal Shastry CK, Poornima R. Bhat B. Anthropometric measurements of newborns. Int J Contemp Pediatr. 2015;2(2):85-9.
- 14. Sorensen HT, Sabroe S, Rottiman KJ, Gillman M, Steffonsen FH, Fischer P, et al. Birth weight

- and length as predictors for adult height. Am J Epidemiol. 1999;149(8):726-9.
- Hadush MA, Berhe AH, Medhanyie AA.
   Foot length, chest and head circumference
   measurements in detection of low birth weight
   neonates in Mekelle, Ethiopia: a hospital based
   cross sectional study. BMC Pediatrics (2017)
   17:111.
- 16. Utami NH, Rachmalina R, Irawati A, Sari K, Rosha BC, Amaliah N, et al. Short birth length, low birth weight and maternal short stature are dominant risks of stunting among children aged 0-23 months: Evidence from Bogor longitudinal study on child growth and development, Indonesia. Mal J Nutr. 2018;24(1):11-23.
- 17. Silveira DB, da Rosa EB, Correia JD, Trevisan P, Fiegenbaum M, Oliveira CA. Birth weight, length and head circumference: Progression and impact over the outcome of patients with congenital heart disease. Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc. 2017;243:194-6.
- 18. Hafiz Elshazali HO, Elshazali OH, Elshazali H. The relationship between birth weight and congenital heart disease at Ahmed Gasim Cardiac Centre, Bahri, Sudan. Sudan J Paediatr. 2017;17(2):49-55.
- 19. Larsson A, Ottosson P, Tornqvist C, Olhager E. Body composition and growth in full-term small for gestational age and large for gestational age Swedish infants assessed with air displacement plethysmography at birth and at 3-4 months of age. PlosOne. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207978.
- 20. Kurtoğlu S, Hatipoğlu N, Mazıcıoğlu MM, Akın MA, Çoban D, Gökoğlu S, et al. Body weight, length and head circumference at birth in a cohort of Turkish newborns. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2012;4(3):132-9.
- 21. Veena SR, Krishnaveni GV, Wills AK, Kurpad AV, Muthayya S, Hill JC, et al. Association of birthweight and head circumference at birth to cognitive performance in 9-10 year old children in South India: prospective birth cohort study. Pediatr Res. 2010;67(4):424-9.
- 22. Chike-Obi U, David RJ, Coutinho R, Wu SY. Birth weight has increased over a generation. Am J Epidemiol. 1996;144:563-9.
- 23. Arbuckle TE, Sherman GJ. An analysis of birth weight by gestational age in Canada. CMAJ. 1989;140:157-60.
- 24. Kramer MS, Morin I, Yang H, Platt RW, Usher R, McNamara H, et al. Why are babies getting bigger? Temporal trends in fetal growth and its determinants. J Pediatr. 2002; 141:538–42.
- 25. Power C. National trends in birth weight: implications for future adult disease. BMJ. 1994;308:1270-1.

- 26. Skjaerven R, Gjessing HK, Bakketeig LS. Birthweight by gestational age in Norway. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000; 79:440-9.
- 27. Ananth CV, Wen SW. Trends in fetal growth among singleton gestations in the United States and Canada, 1985 through 1998. Semin Perinatol. 2002;26:260-7.
- 28. Surkan PJ, Hsieh CC, Johansson AL, Dickman PW, Cnattingius S. Reasons for increasing trends in large for gestational age births. Obstet Gynecol. 2004; 104:720-6.
- 29. Oishi K, Honda S, Takamura N, Kusano Y, Abe Y, Moji K, et al. Secular trends of sizes at birth in Japanese healthy infants born between 1962 and 1988. J Physiol Anthropol Appl Human Sci. 2004;23:155-61.
- 30. Rashidi AA, Kiani O, Heidarzadeh M, Imani B, Nematy M, Taghipour A, et al. Reference curves of birthweight, length, and head ccircumference for gestational age in Iranian singleton births. Iran J Pediatr. 2018;28(5):e66291.
- 31. Stanimirova N. Early criteria of growth and pubertal development normal and abnormal [dissertation], Pleven: Medical University; 1998. Bulgarian.
- 32. Stanimirova N, Peneva L, Baltova Ts. [Physical growth and pubertal development in Bulgarian children aged 0-18 years. Norms and physical growth curves]. Sofia: Publisher, 2017, 118 p. Bulgarian.
- 33. Orskou J, Henriksen TB, Kesmodel U, Secher NJ. Maternal characteristics and lifestyle factors and the risk of delivering high birth weight infants. Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 102:115-20.
- 34. Brynhildsen J, Sydsjo A, Ekholm-Selling K, Josefsson A. The importance of maternal BMI on infant's birth weight in four BMI groups for the period 1978-2001. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2009; 88:391-6.
- 35. Donahue SMA, Kleinman KP, Gillman MW, Oken E. Trends in birth weight and gestational length among singleton term births in the United States: 1990–2005. Obstet Gynecol. 2010; 115(2 Pt 1):357-64.
- 36. Morisaki N, Esplin MS, Varner MW, Henry E, Oken E. Declines in birth weight and fetal growth independent of gestational length. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;121(1):51-8.
- 37. Anchieta LM, Xavier CC, Colosimo EA. Growth of preterm newborns during the first 12 weeks of life. Pediatr (Rio J). 2004;80(4):267-76.
- 38. Amorim M, de Melo AN. Revisiting head circumference of Brazilian newborns in public and private maternity hospitals. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2017;75(6):372-80.